

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,144	09/30/2003	Soon-Young Park	041993-5227	3821	
9629	7590 04/18/2006		EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			NGUYEN, DUNG T		
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/673,144	PARK ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Dung Nguyen	2871

	Dung Nguyen	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explored a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	ktension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	,	•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-21,23 and 24.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 22 and 25.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an			
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	- NI-A:£ A L.,4: 4- 4b		
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)/	
13. Other:		mm	2
		Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 2871

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the device and method of forming the same claims do not define over the art of record. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.